Collaborative Educational Innovation Pilot Grant Scoring Rubric Investigators

Title

| Title | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Criteria | Score | Areas of Strength | Areas of Weakness | Overall Comments/Suggestions for PI | Guidance |
| Points 1=Poor 5=Excellent | | | | | |
| Program Description: Aims, Innovatio | n and Impact | | | | |
| Problem or gap to address | | | | | Identified problem is explained and important issue to solve, what is the focus of the project |
| Aims: Clearly described and theoretically grounded; Testable; Measurable; if qualitative, is it clear why qualitative design was chosen | | | | | Clear statement of aims and hypotheses/questions. Theoretical frame should be specified. All concepts clearly operationalized. |
| Theoretical Framework: are there links (relationships) between theory | | | | | Is the project design supported by theory; What is driving this work? |
| and the final project | | | | | theory, what is driving this work: |
| Implementation Plan | | | | | |
| Study design:what is the approach to solving the problem | | | | | Study design should match aims. Preference given to experimental or quasi-experimental designs (contemporaneous or historical controls are preferred) |
| Methods: methods are clearly described and appropriate to meet aims | | | | | Specific techniques and procedures are explained including recruitment, # of subjects, Equipment and how its utilized, outcomes collected, Data analysis plan |
| Assessment plan | | | | | |
| Assessment Plan:proposed can measure student learning and/or project outcomes | | | | | Measures of "dosage" of the implementation should be specified (if appropriate). All outcome or fidelity measures/variables should be clearly operationalized and methods of measurement specified. |
| Timeline | | | | | |
| Project timeline: project timeline is complete and realistic and can be completed in two years | | | | | Project timeline should be complete |
| Project team | | | | | |
| PI or Co-PI has demonstrated research experience | | | | | Senior team leadership should have demonstrated research experience and publication history in the topical area. |
| Demonstrated topical expertise | | | | | At least one team member with the appropriate topical/clinical experience should be named |
| Demonstrated methdological / analytic expertise on team | | | | | Statistical or methodological expertise should be demonstrated |
| Sustainability and Scaleup | | | | | |
| Sustainability: what is required for the project to continue and/or expand | | | | | Should the intervention prove successful, what is the plan for integrating the innovation into existing curricula? |
| Demonstration that project can be scaled up | | | | | Proposal should demonstrate the feasibility of expanding the innovation beyond a single course or department |
| Budget: Expenses appropriately allocated and justified Innovation | | | | | |
| Innovation: Project is focused on innovative ways to improve student learning | | | | | Does the approach to the project support the theory or project design |
| Expected Impact/significance; Broad or discipline specific; Impact on student learning | | | | | Significance should be specified in terms of one of the following: enhanced student learning, efficiencies in instruction/teaching, etc. |
| Recommendation | | | | | |
| Recommendation for funding by SHP | | | | | |
| Recommendation for funding by SHP with recommended revisions | | | | | |
| | l | | | | <u> </u> |

| Total Points | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| Rank | | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |